



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 544

February Session, 2018

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 92

House of Representatives, April 17, 2018

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. TONG of the 147th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute joint resolution ought to be adopted.

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF THE GENERAL STATUTES.

Resolved by this Assembly:

1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file
2 number 23782 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim
3 against the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Denny Cabral,
4 is confirmed.

5 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
6 22617 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
7 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Ozzie Gooding, is
8 confirmed.

9 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
10 24002 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
11 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Dean B. Holliday, Sr.,
12 is confirmed.

13 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
14 22965 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
15 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Zenon Kolakowski, is
16 confirmed.

17 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
18 23838 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
19 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Linda Kulmann, is
20 confirmed.

21 Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
22 24076 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
23 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Justice M. Law AKA
24 Justice C. Luciano, is confirmed.

25 Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
26 24612 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
27 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Vivek Reddy, is
28 confirmed.

29 Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
30 23655 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
31 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Joseph Sigan, is
32 confirmed.

33 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
34 23089 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
35 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Suzanne Smith, is
36 confirmed.

37 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
38 23272 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
39 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Jane Vizi, is
40 confirmed.

41 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
42 23273 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
43 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Richard Vizi, is

44 confirmed.

45 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
46 23727 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
47 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Jeffrey Weed, is
48 confirmed.

49 Sec. 13. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
50 24638 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
51 the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars of Ramone White, is
52 confirmed.

JUD *Joint Favorable Subst.*

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note***State Impact:*** None***Municipal Impact:*** None***Explanation***

The resolution states the decision of the Claims Commissioner to dismiss several claims against the state in excess of twenty thousand dollars will not result in a fiscal impact.

The Out Years***State Impact:*** None***Municipal Impact:*** None

OLR Bill Analysis

sHJ 92

***RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN
CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 53 OF
THE GENERAL STATUTES.***

SUMMARY

The Office of Legislative Research does not analyze Resolutions.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 38 Nay 3 (04/03/2018)